Environmental Review for a 4-Lot Tentative Parcel Map

Request for a Tentative Parcel Map to subdivide one approximately 0.96 acres parcel into four (4) lots including three (3) residential lots and one (1) lot for a private cul-de-sac in the R-1-8 zoning district at 18081 Saratoga-Los Gatos Road.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the City Council take the following action:

- Adopt a Resolution adopting the Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP), prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

CEQA COMPLIANCE

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”), an Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (“IS/MND”) was prepared to evaluate potentially significant adverse environmental effects of the Project. The IS/MND identified significant, or potentially significant effects on the environment; however, mitigation measures were identified that reduced these effects to a less than significant level. Significant impacts, which require mitigation, include the following: air quality (operational and construction); biological resources (potential impacts to nesting birds and roosting bats, possible impacts to unknown buried cultural resources, possible impacts associated with seismic ground shaking, and construction noise. The entire IS/MND is included as Attachment B of the staff report.
CEQA requires a 30-day public review period. The MND was circulated beginning February 1, 2019 and ended on March 4, 2019, for a total of 32 days. The Mitigated Negative Declaration, along with the Initial Study, was sent to the State Clearinghouse for review by responsible and trustee state agencies. A Notice of Intent to adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration was posted with the Santa Clara County Clerk, on the City’s website, mailing to owners and occupants within a 500 foot radius of the Subject Property, and posting at City Hall and two other locations within the City.

As part of the initial circulation period, the City received comments from two (2) individuals. No new or more severe environmental impacts were identified. With a delay in the project and changes by the State in the CEQA checklist, staff updated the environmental review and recirculated the MND. The updated MND was circulated beginning February 26, 2020 through March 30, 2020 for total of 34 days. The Mitigated Negative Declaration, along with the Initial Study, was sent to the State Clearinghouse for review by responsible and trustee state agencies. A Notice of Intent to adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration was posted with the Santa Clara County Clerk, on the City’s website, mailing to owners and occupants within a 500 foot radius of the Subject Property, and posting at City Hall and two other locations within the City. Staff received two (2) additional comments as a result of the recirculation.

As part of the environment review process a Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program has been put together to include the proposed mitigation measures and identifying the timing of the mitigation and the responsibility for reporting and compliance.

Public comments, responses to those comments, a revised Mitigated Negative Declaration, and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program are attached.

Attachments

A. Public Comments on Proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration and Response to Comments
B. Mitigated Negative Declaration
C. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
Response to Comments received during the Initial Environmental Review period of February 1, 2019 – March 4, 2019:

Letter received from: Don and Toni Agnelli, February 11, 2019

The comment letter is attached. The letter addresses many issues, some of which are not related to environmental issues and the proposed mitigated negative declaration. It is important to note, that the City Council should consider all comments on the project, not just those associated with environmental issues. Responses to the comments addressing environmental issues and the proposed mitigated negative declaration are provided below.

**Response:** The letter indicates that the drop in grade at the front of the property would impact the view by causing the subject site to appear to be much lower than the two adjacent properties. The elevation of the property at the southern property line is approximately 3 feet above the grade at the street increasing approximately 6 feet before it falls back down another 20 feet to the northern property line at the back of the property. The project now proposes to retain the existing retaining wall of approximately 3 feet along the front and transitioning it down as it runs eastward to the new cul-de-sac. Extensive landscaping will be installed including scrubs and trees that will reduce any visual impact of the grading to be done. The view into the site will be obscured by the mature landscaping and will present a similar view along the scenic corridor similar to the other properties along this stretch of roadway. The modification to the plan of keeping the retaining wall along the front does not change the impacts on aesthetics.

Letter received from: Don and Toni Agnelli, March 1, 2019

The comment letter is attached. The letter addresses issues, some of which are not related to environmental issues and the proposed mitigated negative declaration. It is important to note, that the City Council should consider all comments on the project, not just those associated with environmental issues. Responses to the comments addressing environmental issues and the proposed mitigated negative declaration are provided below.

**Response:** The issue of sound “bounce back” was brought up as a concern with the proposed sound wall along the western property line with the adjacent home at the corner of Viewfield Rd. and Highway 9. A supplemental analysis and letter from Edward L. Pack Associates, Inc. dated January 6, 2020 was completed. The conclusion states that as a result of the wall, much of the noise from westbound traffic affecting the Agnelli property will be reduced as a result of the wall. This type of reduction is typically in the order of 5 decibels. The noise from the eastbound traffic will not be reduced and there may be a slight increase from reflection off the barrier back to the Agnelli property. This sound reflection in these types of circumstances are typically in the order of “slightly sell than 1 decibel to up to 1.5 decibels. Overall there will be a net reduction in noise.
18081 Saratoga-Los Gatos Road
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E-mail received from: Catherine Kirsch, February 28, 2019, 1:59 p.m.

The e-mail is attached. The e-mail addresses issues, some of which are not related to environmental issues and the proposed mitigated negative declaration. It is important to note, that the City Council should consider all comments on the project, not just those associated with environmental issues. Responses to the comments addressing environmental issues and the proposed mitigated negative declaration are provided below.

**Response:** The issue of traffic was brought up as a concern and the potential increase in accidents. A traffic analysis was completed and concluded that the overall increase in traffic of 0.2% would be an imperceptible increase in traffic therefore result in no impact. The report also commented on the proposed access of a 40-foot wide street. This access design would substantially improve access and visibility to the site and allow for two way in and out as well as parking on both sides of the cul-de-sac. As a result of the improved visibility there would be no negative impact and no improvements to the existing circulation network required.

E-mail received from: Daniel LaBouve, February 23, 2019, 1:30 p.m.

The e-mail is attached. The respondent identified that the photo simulations were not realistic given the grading that was proposed. Responses to the comments addressing environmental issues and the proposed mitigated negative declaration are provided below.

**Response:** The photo simulations have been updated to more accurately reflect proposed landscape plans, including the retention of the wall along the front of the property. The resulting views continue to enhance the views along the corridor over the existing unmaintained scrub landscape and support a view consistent with adjacent properties and others along this stretch of the Highway. The proposed landscape along the frontage will not block any vistas beyond the existing condition.

E-mail received from: Nick Marikian, March 2, 2019, 1:17 p.m.

The e-mail is attached. The e-mail addresses issues, which are not related to environmental issues and the proposed mitigated negative declaration. It is important to note, that the City Council should consider all comments on the project, not just those associated with environmental issues.

E-Mail received from: Evert Wolsheimer, February 1, 12:04 p.m.

The e-mail is attached. The e-mail addresses issues, which are not related to environmental issues and the proposed mitigated negative declaration. It is important to note, that the City Council consider all comments on the project, not just those associated with environmental issues.
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Response to Comments received during the Recirculated MND Review period of February 26, 2020 – March 30, 2020:

Letter received from: Native American Heritage Commission, dated March 18, 2020, received via e-mail on March 20, 2020.

The initial circulation of the Draft MND was sent to the State Clearinghouse and circulated to the Native American Heritage Commission. Staff forwarded as requested a link to the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration to Gayle Totton, M.A., Ph.D, Associate Governmental Program Analyst Native American Heritage Commission. Ms. Totton’s review of the content yielded no comments on the proposed draft (see attached e-mail). Upon recirculation, staff again sent the Draft MND to the State Clearinghouse and received a 5 page comment letter from the Native American Heritage Commission and staff analyst Sarah Fonesca (see attached letter).

Response: The City’s General Plan does not identify this area of the City as having potential historic or cultural resources. The site was previously developed with a home built in the early 1900’s and had been used as such until a fire in 2015. Regardless of the fact that this site is not located in an alluvial area near a stream or other water body which the City’s General Plan indicates the possibility of potential sensitive archeological or cultural resources, standard mitigation measures, CR-1 and CR-2, have been included in the Draft MND should during construction accidental discovery of human remains or sensitive archeological resources are found.

Letter received from Don and Toni Agnelli, Dated March 30, 2020.

The letter received reference the supplemental noise analyses related to any increased noise due to bounce back off the wall, as well as the aesthetics of the wall.

Response: The supplemental noise analysis indicated that overall there will be a slight reduction on the noise. The wall will now block noise from westbound traffic on Highway 9. There will be an increase of noise from eastbound traffic and the bounce back off the wall. However, the reduction would be greater than the increase resulting in an overall reduction, although negligible.

The comments related to the aesthetics of the wall as viewed from the Agnelli’s yard are not related to environmental issues and the proposed mitigated negative declaration. It is important to note, that the City Council consider all comments on the project, not just those associated with environmental issues.
REVISED AND RECIRCULATED

MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

18081 Saratoga-Los Gatos Road 4-Lot Subdivision

In Compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

Lead Agency: City of Monte Sereno

Project Proponent: Annette Seaborn
410 N. Santa Cruz Avenue
Los Gatos, CA 95030

Project Location: The subject site is located at 18081 Saratoga Los Gatos Road, Highway 9, in the incorporated limits of the City of Monte Sereno, CA 95030

Assessor’s Parcel Number: 410-20-036

Project Description: The proposed project is a subdivision dividing the approximately 0.96-acre parcel into 4-lots, including 3 residential lots and a separate lot for the private cul-de-sac street. The project also includes the removal of up to 20 trees.

Public Review Period: Begins – February 26, 2020
Ends – March 30, 2020

Address Where Written Comments May be Sent: Jeannie Hamilton, AICP, City Planner
City of Monte Sereno
18041 Saratoga-Los Gatos Road
Monte Sereno, CA 95030

Proposed Findings: The City of Monte Sereno is the custodian of the documents and other material that constitute the record of proceedings upon which this is based. The City’s further review did not identify any need to revise conclusions regarding potential impacts or to add mitigation measures.

The initial study indicates that the proposed project has the potential to result in significant adverse environmental impacts. However, the mitigation measures identified in the initial study would reduce the impacts to a less than significant level. There is no substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before the lead agency (City of Monte Sereno) that the project, with mitigation measures incorporated, may have a significant effect on the environment. See the following project-specific mitigation measures:
Mitigation Measures

Air Quality

AQ-1. Future development of the site shall include applicable control measures from the Air District’s current air quality plan. These control measures include, but are not limited to, the following:
   a. Incorporation of solar hot water or solar electricity in the homes;
   b. Incorporation of “cool roofing” and “cool paving” technologies into the development;
   and
   c. Inclusion of shade trees in landscaping plans.

   The previous document circulated included the following conditions that have been deleted because it has been determined they are not relevant for this small project:
   a. Provision of internal bicycle facilities with connection to State Route 9;
   b. Provision of sidewalks on internal streets with connections to sidewalks on State Route 9;

AQ-2. The following basic construction mitigation measures shall be incorporated into project construction documents:
   a. All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day;
   b. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, debris, or other loose material off-site shall be covered;
   c. All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited;
   d. All vehicle speeds on unpaved surfaces shall be limited to 15 mph;
   e. All driveways and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used;
   f. Idling times shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to 5 minutes (as required by the California airborne toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations [CCR]). Clear signage shall be provided for construction workers at all access points;
   g. All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with manufacturer’s specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified mechanic and determined to be running in proper condition prior to operation; and
   h. Post a publicly visible sign with telephone number and person to contact at the Lead Agency regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. The Air District’s phone number shall also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations.

Biological Resources

BIO-1 To avoid impacts to nesting birds, the removal of trees and shrubs shall be minimized to the greatest extent feasible. Construction activities that include any tree removal, pruning,
grading, grubbing, or demolition shall be conducted outside of the bird nesting season (February through August). If this type of construction occurs during the bird nesting season, then a qualified biologist shall conduct a preconstruction survey for nesting birds to ensure that no nests would be disturbed during project construction. This survey shall be conducted no more than seven (7) days prior to the initiation of disturbance activities during the early part of the nesting season (February through April) and no more than 30 days prior to the initiation of disturbance activities during the late part of the nesting season (May through August). If no active nests are present within 250 feet of construction, then activities can proceed as scheduled. However, if an active nest is detected during the survey within 250 feet of construction, then the establishment of a protective construction-free buffer zone from each active nest (typically 250 feet for raptors and 50-100 feet for other species) will be clearly delineated or fenced until the juvenile bird(s) have fledged (left the nest), unless the biologist determines that construction would not impact the active nest. Implementation of this mitigation measure will be the responsibility of project site developers.

BIO-2 To avoid impacting active pallid bat roosts, if present, all vacant buildings proposed for removal that are unoccupied and boarded up at the time of development approval (dark in the daytime) shall be opened in the winter months (prior to mid-March) to allow in light, making these areas non-suitable for use as maternity roosts. In addition, any mature trees removed due to project implementation shall be removed in two stages (with the limbs removed one day, and the main trunk removed on a subsequent day) to allow any potentially present day-roosting bats the opportunity to relocate. Implementation of this mitigation measure will be the responsibility of project site developers.

BIO-3 For each tree removed, the developer shall plant a California native tree species with at least a one-to-one replacement ratio on the project site, unless inconsistent with good forestry practices, and obtain a permit prior to removal of any tree, in compliance with the City of Monte Sereno's Tree Preservation Ordinance and/or Subdivision Ordinance. A qualified arborist shall recommend the appropriate replacement ratio and also survey any trees to be preserved, including the trunk diameter, canopy spread, species, condition, and location, and recommend specific steps that must be taken during construction to ensure that those trees are not impacted during construction. Implementation of this mitigation measure will be the responsibility of project site developers.

Cultural Resources

CR-1. Due to the remote possibility that significant buried prehistoric cultural resources might be found during construction activities, the following language shall be included in all construction documents associated with development of the project site:

"If prehistoric archaeological resources are discovered during construction, work shall be halted at a minimum of 200 feet from the find and the area shall be staked off. The city shall notify a qualified professional archaeologist. If the find is determined to be significant, appropriate mitigation measures shall be formulated and implemented."

Implementation of this mitigation measure will be the responsibility of project site developers.

CR-2. In the event of an accidental discovery or recognition of any human remains, the following language shall be included in all construction documents associated with
redevelopment of the project site in accordance with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5(e):

“If human remains are found during construction there shall be no further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area reasonably suspected to overlie adjacent human remains until the coroner of Santa Clara County is contacted to determine that no investigation of the cause of death is required. If the coroner determines the remains to be Native American the coroner shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission within 24 hours. The Native American Heritage Commission shall identify the person or persons it believes to be the most likely descendent (MLD) from the deceased Native American. The MLD may then make recommendations to the City of Monte Sereno or the person responsible for the excavation work, for means of treating or disposing of, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. The City of Monte Sereno or its authorized representative shall rebury the Native American human remains and associated grave goods with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further disturbance if: a) the Native American Heritage Commission is unable to identify a MLD or the MLD failed to make a recommendation within 48 hours after being notified by the commission; b) the descendent identified fails to make a recommendation; or c) the City of Monte Sereno or its authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the descendent, and the mediation by the Native American Heritage Commission fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner.”

Implementation of this mitigation measure will be the responsibility of project site developers.

**Geology and Soils**

**GEO-1** Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that the recommendations in the February 21, 2017 project geotechnical report are included in the project grading and building plans.

**GEO-2** Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the developer shall submit an erosion control plan to ensure that erosion is controlled during grading and construction activities and does not result in deposition of soil off site.

**Noise**

**N-1** Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the following measures shall be included in the grading and construction plans:

**Operational and Situational Controls**

1. All work on site should be restricted to 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Weekdays, 9:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., Saturdays and no work allowed on Sundays and Federal Holidays.
2. All construction noise control measures currently imposed on the project shall be maintained unless the measures outlined herein are more restrictive.
3. All exterior stationary equipment shall be kept at least 100 ft. from neighboring residential property lines unless acoustically shielded.
4. No material deliveries are allowed on Sundays or Federal Holidays.
(5) Cranes shall be located at least 100 ft. from any neighboring residential property line with the exception of cranes or lifts necessary to dismantle scaffolding.

(6) Locate stockpiles adjacent to residential neighbors as much as possible to help shield residences from on-site noise generation.

(7) Driveways and other vehicle travel paths shall be graded smooth to minimize vibration and "bangs" from vehicles traveling over rough surfaces.

(8) Music shall not be audible off site.

(9) Place long-term stationary equipment as far away from the residential areas as possible.

(10) Keep mobile equipment (haul trucks, concrete trucks, etc.) off of local streets near residences as much as possible.

(11) Keep vehicle paths graded smooth as rough roads and paths can cause significant noise and vibration from trucks (particularly empty trucks) rolling over rough surfaces. Loud bangs and ground-borne vibration can occur.

(12) Limit the extent of heavy diesel engine equipment work to less than 10 consecutive days when working within 40 feet of the property lines.

**Interior Work**

(1) For interior work, the windows of the interior spaces facing neighboring residences where work is being performed shall be kept closed while work is proceeding.

(2) Noise generating equipment indoors should be located within the building to utilize building elements as noise screens.

**Equipment**

(1) Earth Removal: Use scrapers as much as possible for earth removal, rather than the noisier loaders and hauling trucks.

(2) Backfilling: Use a backhoe for backfilling, as it is less costly and quieter than either dozers or loaders.

(3) Ground Preparation: Use a motor grader rather than a bulldozer for final grading. Wheeled heavy equipment is less noisy than track equipment. Utilize wheeled equipment rather than track equipment whenever possible.

(4) Building Construction: Nail guns should be used where possible as they are less noisy than manual hammering.

(5) Generators and Compressors: Use generators, compressors and pumps that are housed in acoustical enclosures rather than weather enclosures or none at all.

(6) Utilize temporary power service from the utility company in lieu of generators wherever possible.

(7) All stationary equipment shall be rated no higher than 85 dBA @ 25 feet under the equipment's most noisy condition.

(8) Circular saws, miter/chop saws and radial arm saws shall be used no closer than 50 feet from any residential property line unless the saw is screened from view by any and all residences using an air-tight screen material of at least 2.0 lbs/square feet surface weight, such as \( \frac{3}{4}'' \) plywood.

(9) Use electrically powered tools rather than pneumatic tools whenever possible.

(10) Mitigation of the construction phase noise at the site can be accomplished by using quiet or "new technology" equipment.

(11) The greatest potential for noise abatement of current equipment should be the quieting of exhaust noises by use of improved mufflers.
(12) It is recommended that all internal combustion engines used at the project site be equipped with a type of muffler recommended by the vehicle manufacturer.
(13) All equipment should be in good mechanical condition so as to minimize noise created by faulty or poorly maintained engines, drive-trains and other components. Worn, lose or unbalanced parts or components shall be maintained or replaced to minimize noise and vibration.
(14) Utilize wheeled equipment rather than tracked equipment whenever possible.
(15) Diesel vibrating compaction equipment shall not be used within 100 feet of a residential structure.

*Noise Complaint Management*

(1) Designate a noise complaint officer. The officer shall be available at all times during construction hours via both telephone and email. Signs shall be posted at site entries.
(2) Notify, in writing, all residents within 300 feet of the site of construction. The notification shall contain the name, phone number and email address of the noise complaint officer. A flyer may be placed at the doors of the residences.
(3) A log of all complaints shall be maintained. The logs shall contain the name and address of the complainant, the date and time of the complaint, the nature/description of the noise source, a description of the remediation attempt or the reason remediation could not be attempted.
### MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

#### 18081 SARATOGA-LOS GATOS ROAD SUBDIVISION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures or Standard Conditions</th>
<th>Monitoring Responsibility</th>
<th>Implementation and Monitoring Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Quality</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| AQ-1: The project would conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan | Mitigation Measure AQ-1: Future development of the site shall include applicable control measures from the Air District’s current air quality plan. These control measures include, but are not limited to, the following:  
  a) Incorporation of solar hot water or solar electricity in the homes;  
  b) Incorporation of “cool roofing” and “cool paving” technologies into the development; and  
  c) Inclusion of shade trees in landscaping plans. | City of Monte Sereno Planning Department | Prior to issuance of a building permit and during work under such permits. |
| AQ-2: The project would contribute to construction-related air emissions | Mitigation Measure AQ-2: The following basic construction mitigation measures shall be incorporated into project construction documents:  
  a) All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day;  
  b) All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, debris, or other loose material off-site shall be covered;  
  c) All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited;  
  d) All vehicle speeds on unpaved surfaces shall be limited to 15 mph;  
  e) All driveways and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used;  
  f) Idling times shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to 5 minutes (as required by the California airborne toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations [CCR]). Clear signage shall be provided for construction workers at all access points;  
  g) All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with manufacturer’s specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified mechanic and determined to be running in proper condition prior to operation; and  
  h) Post a publicly visible sign with telephone number and person to contact at the Lead Agency regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. The Air District’s phone number shall also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations. | City of Monte Sereno Planning Department | Prior to issuance of building permit and during work under such permits. |
| **Biological Resources** | Mitigation Measure BIO-1: To avoid impacts to nesting birds, the removal of trees and shrubs shall be minimized to the greatest extent feasible. Construction activities that include any tree removal, pruning, grading, grubbing, or demolition shall be conducted outside of the bird nesting season (February through August). If this type of construction occurs during the bird nesting season, then a qualified biologist shall conduct a preconstruction survey for nesting birds to ensure that no nests would be disturbed | City of Monte Sereno Planning Department | Prior to issuance of tree removal permits demolition permits, and grading permits, and during work under such permits. |
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
18081 SARATOGA-LOS GATOS ROAD SUBDIVISION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures or Standard Conditions</th>
<th>Monitoring Responsibility</th>
<th>Implementation and Monitoring Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>during project construction. This survey shall be conducted no more than seven (7) days prior to the initiation of disturbance activities during the early part of the nesting season (February through April) and no more than 30 days prior to the initiation of disturbance activities during the late part of the nesting season (May through August). If no active nests are present within 250 feet of construction, then activities can proceed as scheduled. However, if an active nest is detected during the survey within 250 feet of construction, then the establishment of a protective construction-free buffer zone from each active nest (typically 250 feet for raptors and 50-100 feet for other species) will be clearly delineated or fenced until the juvenile bird(s) have fledged (left the nest), unless the biologist determines that construction would not impact the active nest. Implementation of this mitigation measure will be the responsibility of project site developers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{BIO-2: The project may adversely impact active pallid bat roosts} )</td>
<td><strong>Mitigation Measure BIO-2:</strong> To avoid impacting active pallid bat roosts, if present, all vacant buildings proposed for removal that are unoccupied and boarded up at the time of development approval (dark in the daytime) shall be opened in the winter months (prior to mid-March) to allow in light, making these areas non-suitable for use as maternity roosts. In addition, any mature trees removed due to project implementation shall be removed in two stages (with the limbs removed one day, and the main trunk removed on a subsequent day) to allow any potentially present day-roosting bats the opportunity to relocate. Implementation of this mitigation measure will be the responsibility of project site developers.</td>
<td>City of Monte Sereno Planning Department</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of tree removal permits and demolition permits, and during work under such permits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{BIO-3: The project may conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance} )</td>
<td><strong>Mitigation Measure BIO-3:</strong> For each tree removed, the developer shall plant a California native tree species with at least a one-to-one replacement ratio on the project site, unless inconsistent with good forestry practices, and obtain a permit prior to removal of any tree, in compliance with the City of Monte Sereno’s Tree Preservation Ordinance and/or Subdivision Ordinance. A qualified arborist shall recommend the appropriate replacement ratio and also survey any trees to be preserved, including the trunk diameter, canopy spread, species, condition, and location, and recommend specific steps that must be taken during construction to ensure that those trees are not impacted during construction. Implementation of this mitigation measure will be the responsibility of project site developers.</td>
<td>City of Monte Sereno Planning Department</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of tree removal permits and demolition permits, and during work under such permits.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
### 18081 SARATOGA-LOS GATOS ROAD SUBDIVISION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultural Resources</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures or Standard Conditions</th>
<th>Monitoring Responsibility</th>
<th>Implementation and Monitoring Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **CR-1**: Construction associated with the project may result in accidental discovery or recognition of archaeological resources or human remains | **Mitigation Measure CR-1**: Due to the remote possibility that significant buried prehistoric cultural resources might be found during construction activities, the following language shall be included in all construction documents associated with development of the project site:  
“If prehistoric archaeological resources are discovered during construction, work shall be halted at a minimum of 200 feet from the find and the area shall be staked off. The city shall notify a qualified professional archaeologist. If the find is determined to be significant, appropriate mitigation measures shall be formulated and implemented.” | City of Monte Sereno Planning Department | Prior to issuance of demolition and grading permits |
| | Implementation of this mitigation measure will be the responsibility of project site developers. | | |
| | **Mitigation Measure CR-2**: In the event of an accidental discovery or recognition of any human remains, the following language shall be included in all construction documents associated with redevelopment of the project site in accordance with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5(e):  
“If human remains are found during construction there shall be no further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area reasonably suspected to overlie adjacent human remains until the coroner of Santa Clara County is contacted to determine that no investigation of the cause of death is required. If the coroner determines the remains to be Native American the coroner shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission within 24 hours. The Native American Heritage Commission shall identify the person or persons it believes to be the most likely descendent (MLD) from the deceased Native American. The MLD may then make recommendations to the City of Monte Sereno or the person responsible for the excavation work, for means of treating or disposing of, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. The City of Monte Sereno or its authorized representative shall rebury the Native American human remains and associated grave goods with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further disturbance if: a) the Native American Heritage Commission is unable to identify a MLD or the MLD failed to make a recommendation within 48 hours after being notified by the commission; b) the descendent identified fails to make a recommendation; or c) the City of Monte Sereno or its authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the descendent, and the mediation by the Native American Heritage Commission fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner.” | City of Monte Sereno Planning Department | Prior to issuance of demolition, grading, and excavation and other permits involving ground disturbance, and during work under such permits. |
| | Implementation of this mitigation measure will be the responsibility of project site developers. | | |
### MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
#### 18081 SARATOGA-LOS GATOS ROAD SUBDIVISION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures or Standard Conditions</th>
<th>Monitoring Responsibility</th>
<th>Implementation and Monitoring Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geology and Soils</strong></td>
<td><strong>GEO-1: Exposure of people or structures to strong seismic ground shaking</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mitigation Measure GEO-1:</strong> Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the applicant shall provide evidence that the recommendations in the February 21, 2017 project geotechnical report are included in the project grading and building plans.</td>
<td>City of Monte Sereno Building Department</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of a grading permit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GEO-2: Erosion</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mitigation Measure GEO-2:</strong> Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the developer shall submit an erosion control plan to ensure that erosion is controlled during grading and construction activities and does not result in deposition of soil off site.</td>
<td>City of Monte Sereno Building Department</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of a grading permit and during work under such permits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noise</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Construction noise</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mitigation Measure N-1:</strong> Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the following measures shall be included in the grading and construction plans:</td>
<td>City of Monte Sereno Planning Department</td>
<td>Prior to issuance of a grading permit and during work under such permits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational and Situational Controls</strong>&lt;br&gt;1. All work on site should be restricted to 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Weekdays, 9:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., Saturdays and no work allowed on Sundays and Federal Holidays. 2. All construction noise control measures currently imposed on the project shall be maintained unless the measures outlined herein are more restrictive. 3. All exterior stationary equipment shall be kept at least 100 ft. from neighboring residential property line unless acoustically shielded. 4. No material deliveries are allowed on Sundays or Federal Holidays. 5. Cranes shall be located at least 100 ft. from any neighboring residential property line with the exception of cranes or lifts necessary to dismantle scaffolding. 6. Locate stockpiles adjacent to residential neighbors as much as possible to help shield residences from on-site noise generation. 7. Driveways and other vehicle travel paths shall be graded smooth to minimize vibration and “bangs” from vehicles traveling over rough surfaces. 8. Music shall not be audible off site. 9. Place long-term stationary equipment as far away from the residential areas as possible. 10. Keep mobile equipment (haul trucks, concrete trucks, etc.) off of local streets near residences as much as possible. 11. Keep vehicle paths graded smooth as rough roads and paths can cause significant noise and vibration from trucks (particularly empty trucks) rolling over rough surfaces. Loud bangs and ground-borne vibration can occur. 12. Limit the extent of heavy diesel engine equipment work to less than 10 consecutive days when working within 40 feet of the property lines.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interior Work</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. For interior work, the windows of the interior spaces facing neighboring residences where work is being performed shall be kept closed while work is proceeding.

2. Noise generating equipment indoors should be located within the building to utilize building elements as noise screens.

**Equipment**

1. Earth Removal: Use scrapers as much as possible for earth removal, rather than the noisier loaders and hauling trucks.
2. Backfilling: Use a backhoe for backfilling, as it is less costly and quieter than either dozers or loaders.
3. Ground Preparation: Use a motor grader rather than a bulldozer for final grading. Wheeled heavy equipment is less noisy than track equipment. Utilize wheeled equipment rather than track equipment whenever possible.
4. Building Construction: Nail guns should be used where possible as they are less noisy than manual hammering.
5. Generators and Compressors: Use generators, compressors and pumps that are housed in acoustical enclosures rather than weather enclosures or none at all.
6. Utilize temporary power service from the utility company in lieu of generators wherever possible.
7. All stationary equipment shall be rated no higher than 85 dBA @ 25 feet under the equipment’s most noisy condition.
8. Circular saws, miter/chop saws and radial arm saws shall be used no closer than 50 feet from any residential property line unless the saw is screened from view by any and all residences using an air-tight screen material of at least 2.0 lbs/square feet surface weight, such as ¾” plywood.
9. Use electrically powered tools rather than pneumatic tools whenever possible.
10. Mitigation of the construction phase noise at the site can be accomplished by using quiet or “new technology” equipment.
11. The greatest potential for noise abatement of current equipment should be the quieting of exhaust noises by use of improved mufflers.
12. It is recommended that all internal combustion engines used at the project site be equipped with a type of muffler recommended by the vehicle manufacturer.
13. All equipment should be in good mechanical condition so as to minimize noise created by faulty or poorly maintained engines, drive-trains and other components. Worn, lose or unbalanced parts or components shall be maintained or replaced to minimize noise and vibration.
14. Utilize wheeled equipment rather than tracked equipment whenever possible.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures or Standard Conditions</th>
<th>Monitoring Responsibility</th>
<th>Implementation and Monitoring Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Diesel vibrating compaction equipment shall not be used within 100 feet of a residential structure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Noise Complaint Management**

1. Designate a noise complaint officer. The officer shall be available at all times during construction hours via both telephone and email. Signs shall be posted at site entries.

2. Notify, in writing, all residents within 300 feet of the site of construction. The notification shall contain the name, phone number and email address of the noise complaint officer. A flyer may be placed at the doors of the residences.

3. A log of all complaints shall be maintained. The logs shall contain the name and address of the complainant, the date and time of the complaint, the nature/description of the noise source, a description of the remediation attempt or the reason remediation could not be attempted.